

A Comparative Analysis of Outcomes Between Two Different Intramuscular Progesterone Preparations in Women Undergoing Frozen Embryo Transfer Cycles

Srividya Seshadri ^{1*}, Rabi Odia ¹, Ozkan Ozturk ¹, Wiam Saab ², Ali AlChami ¹, Xavier Viñals Gonzalez ¹, Saba Salim ¹, Wael Saab ¹, Paul Serha ¹

- 1- The Centre for Reproductive and Genetic Health, London, UK
- 2- Gilbert and Rose-Marie Chagoury Health Sciences Center, The Lebanese American University, Beirut, Lebanon

Abstract

Background: The purpose of the current study was to assess if luteal support with intramuscular (IM) 17 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate (17-OHPC) (Lentogest, IBSA, Italy) improves the pregnancy outcome in comparison to natural intramuscular progesterone (Prontogest, AMSA, Italy) when administered to recipients in a frozen embryo transfer cycle.

Methods: A retrospective comparative study was performed to evaluate outcomes between two different intramuscular regimens used for luteal support in frozen embryo transfer cycles in patients underwent autologous in vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles (896 IVF cycles) and intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) who had a blastocyst transfer from February 2014 to March 2017 at the Centre for Reproductive and Genetic Health (CRGH) in London.

Results: The live birth rates were significantly lower for the IM natural progesterone group when compared to 17-OHPC group (41.8% *vs.* 50.9%, adjusted OR of 0.63 (0.31-0.91)). The miscarriage rates were significantly lower in the 17-OHPC group compared to the IM natural progesterone group (14.5% *vs.* 19.2%, OR of 1.5, 95% CI of 1.13-2.11). The gestational age at birth and birth weight were similar in both groups (p=0.297 and p=0.966, respectively).

Conclusion: It is known that both intramuscular and vaginal progesterone preparations are the standard of care for luteal phase support in women having frozen embryo transfer cycles. However, there is no clear scientific consensus regarding the optimal luteal support. In this study, it was revealed that live birth rates are significantly higher in women who received artificial progesterone compared to women who received natural progesterone in frozen embryo transfer cycles.

Keywords: Frozen embryo transfer cycles, Luteal support, Progesterone.

To cite this article: Seshadri S, Odia R, Ozturk O, Saab W, AlChami A, Gonzalez XV, et al. A Comparative Analysis of Outcomes Between Two Different Intramuscular Progesterone Preparations in Women Undergoing Frozen Embryo Transfer Cycles. J Reprod Infertil. 2022; 23(1):46-53. http://dx.doi.org/10.18502/jri.v23i1.8452.

* Corresponding Author: Srividya Seshadri, The Centre for Reproductive and Genetic Health, 230-232 Great Portland St, Fitzrovia, London, UK E-mail: v9dya@hotmail.com

Received: Feb. 18, 2021 **Accepted:** Jul. 5, 2021

Introduction

he first live birth following a frozen embryo transfer (FET) cycle was reported more than 30 years ago (1). Since then, the number of FET cycles performed worldwide has increased dramatically. Improvements in cryopreservation technology (*i.e.*, vitrification) have resulted in im-

proved post-thaw embryo survival (2). Astoundingly, recent studies have demonstrated that compared to fresh in vitro fertilisation (IVF), FET live birth rates have improved (3-5), enabling clinicians to recommend the use of FET without compromising the chance of successful pregnancy.

Comparison of frozen and fresh embryo transfer cycles has also demonstrated improved perinatal and obstetric outcomes in FET cycles (8, 9). This has led to a substantial change in conventional clinical practice. It is now recommended that if a single embryo transfer (SET) is unsuccessful, a successive transfer with a single embryo transfer by a FET cycle will reduce the rate of multiple gestations without affecting the cumulative pregnancy rate (6, 7). Undoubtedly, it seems that favorable outcomes obtained by a FET cycle justify the recommendation of freeze-all for all cases (10, 11). However, this approach is currently being debated.

Progesterone is integral to the establishment of implantation and maintenance of early pregnancy until the placenta takes over gradually from 6 to 8 weeks of gestation. The synchrony between endometrium and embryo, i.e., the window of implantation is determined by progesterone (12). The requirement for progesterone support in fresh IVF cycles is due to the impairment of normal luteal function caused by pituitary suppression and follicular aspiration (13, 14). In programmed FET cycles, there is little endogenous progesterone produced. Similarly, low progesterone levels (luteal insufficiency) are associated with increased rates of pregnancy loss (15). Therefore, there is a clear need to provide exogenous progesterone in the luteal phase of assisted reproductive technology (ART) cycles.

There is wide variation in the practice of luteal phase progesterone regime used in ART cycles across the world, and it is an area of ongoing research and interest for a long time. The mainstay of progesterone administration is intramuscular (IM) progesterone and vaginal progesterone. Vaginal progesterone is well tolerated and reportedly easier to administer. However, it needs more frequent administration. In comparison, IM progesterone is self-administered after being trained by appropriate personnel, though it is poorly tolerated by patients causing pain and anxiety during injection (16). Oral progesterone is rarely used or applied as an adjunct to other progesterone medication because of its low absorption and reduced bioavailability.

Some studies have found comparable pregnancy outcomes between IM and vaginal progesterone in FET cycles (17, 18). However, one study found decreased live birth rate with vaginal progesterone, compared to IM progesterone (19). Others show that the constant progesterone release provided by IM progesterone in oil suppresses uterine myometrial contractility and endometrial wavelike activity and thus improves pregnancy rate (20). These inconsistent results demonstrate that the best luteal support regime is yet to be estab-

17-α hydroxyprogesterone caproate (17-OHPC) is long-acting IM progesterone in oil. 17-OHPC is currently used in the prevention of preterm birth (PTB) (21). However, recently the PROLONG (Progestin's Role in Optimizing Neonatal Gestation) trial which was designed to assess the safety and efficacy of 17-OHPC injection for reducing risk of PTB and neonatal morbidity/mortality in pregnant women with a singleton gestation who had a previous singleton spontaneous PTB showed no statistical difference in preterm birth or neonatal morbidity in the group that received 17-OHPC versus placebo.

The long half-life (7.8 to 10 days) of 17-OHPC makes it a feasible alternative to daily IM progesterone. Less frequent administration of 17-OHPC may result in better patient tolerance and compliance.

Although comparison of IM and vaginal progesterone in FET cycles has been investigated (17), the use of 17-OHPC in the luteal phase is less explored. It is approximately seventeen years ago that one comparison study performed by Costabile et al. reported comparable clinical outcomes when 17-OHPC and daily IM progesterone were used for luteal support in fresh IVF cycles (22). In this study, comparative outcomes of FET cycles were demonstrated based on our center's data when either daily intramuscular natural progesterone or thrice-weekly 17-α hydroxyprogesterone caproate was used for luteal support in women.

Methods

Study design: A retrospective comparative study of all autologous FET cycles with blastocysts (day 5 or 6) transfer performed at the Centre for Reproductive and Genetic Health (CRGH) in London from the 1st of Feb 2014 to the 31st of March 2017 was done for the type of progesterone used for luteal support. The luteal progesterone regimes were randomly assigned to the patients by their consultant. All the methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. All protocols were approved by an institutional committee. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the Centre for Reproductive and Genetic Health

(CRGH) on 21st Feb 2018. The IRB protocol number is IRB-0004C21.02.18.

In view of the retrospective nature of the study, all the procedures being performed were part of the routine care. All the patients completed the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HFEA) forms as informed consent for non-contact research.

Patient demographic details, FET cycle parameters, embryo quality, clinical outcomes, gestational age, weight and miscarriage rates were obtained from clinical records and compared between those supported with daily (100 mg) natural IM progesterone (Prontogest, AMSA, Italy) and those supported with 17-OHPC (Lentogest, IBSA, Italy) three times (341 mg) per week. The dosing of the progesterone was based on the randomized study of Costabile et al. in 2001 (22). All the cycles were with autologous oocytes and donor cycles were not included in this study. Based on inclusion criteria, all women undergoing a FET cycle were recruited. Egg recipient cycles were regarded as exclusion criteria. In all, 896 patients were included in the study (456 patients with IM natural progesterone and 440 patients with 17 OHPC).

Statistical methods: Data was analyzed using SPSS Statistics V22.0 (IMB, USA). The main outcome measure was clinical pregnancy rate per embryo transfer in treatment groups. Baseline characteristics were also compared between treatment groups. Metric variables such as female age, gestational age, and weight at birth were compared by the student t-test when sample was normally distributed or Mann Whitney U test when facing lack of normal distribution. Nominal variables were analyzed by the Chi-squared test and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Blastocyst quality was categorized in three different groups based on morphologic assessment (1: average; 2: good; 3: excellent). Logistic regression analysis was performed to confirm the findings considering the covariables of age, embryo quality, and treatment protocol.

Clinical protocols: Controlled ovarian hyperstimulation was achieved using one of the two protocols of GnRH-agonist long protocol or GnRH-antagonist protocol for the fresh cycles. In preparation for FET cycles, the GnRH-agonist 0.4 mg was started on day 21 of the prior cycle for the pituitary down-regulation. Endometrial preparation was carried out by using 2 mg dose of oral estradiol valerate three times a day. Transvaginal ultrasound was done between day 10 and 14 of estrogen priming. After achieving the target endometrial thickness of at least 7 mm, natural IM progesterone or 17-OHPC was started. The dose of the Lentogest was 341 mg administered intramuscularly thrice a week. Blastocysts were thawed and transferred on day 6 of progesterone supplementation. Once pregnancy was confirmed, recipients continued to receive the same doses of estrogen until the 10th week and progesterone until the 12th week of gestation. All the patients had a standardized FET preparation cycle and there were no changes in their stimulation, FET preparation, or laboratory protocols.

Laboratory protocol: Embryos were frozen at the blastocyst stage. Blastocysts were frozen using blastocyst vitrification media (Cook, Ireland). Blastocysts were vitrified using established protocols. Vitrification was performed using the cryolock open carrier system (Biodiseño, Columbia). Embryos were warmed using the respective warming kits and manufacturers' protocols. Blastocysts were warmed on day 6 of progesterone supplementation. Following warming of the embryos, they were transferred after 4 hr of culture post warming. Embryo survival was confirmed 3 hours post thaw. Viability of trophectoderm and inner cell mass was visually evaluated under the microscope.

Results

Patient demographics and embryo characteristics between the 1st of February 2014 and the 31 st of March 2017, 896 cycles were available for analysis (456 cycles with IM natural progesterone and 440 cycles with 17-OHPC). There was no significant difference between the primary cause of subfertility nor the proportion of patients with prior failed IVF cycles and or the number of patients with previous miscarriage between the two groups. The number of previous attempts in Prontogest group was 1.53±1.21 compared to 1.21±0.96 in Lentogest group.

The proportion of patients with day 5/day 6 blastocyst transfer in both groups was similar. The average of endometrial thickness was comparable between the two groups (9.5 mm vs. 8.1 mm; p= 0.383) for IM progesterone and 17-OHPC, respectively. Post thaw embryo quality and the average number of embryos transferred were similar between the two groups. The proportion of patients having top, average, or poor quality embryos was not significantly different (Table 1). The number of blastocysts transferred in the Prontogest group was 1.35±0.5 vs. 1.46±0.5 in the Lentogest group (p=0.098).

Clinical outcomes: The odds of a cryopreserved embryo transfer resulting in a clinical pregnancy were significantly lower for the IM natural progesterone in comparison to 17-OHPC group (52.6% vs. 59.5%, OR of 1.2 (CI of 1.12-1.68; p=0.03)). The live birth rates were also significantly lower for the IM natural progesterone group when compared to the 17-OHPC group (41.8% vs. 50.9%, adjusted OR of 0.63 (0.31-0.91; p<0.05)). The miscarriage rates were significantly lower in the 17-OHPC (Lentogest) group

compared to the IM natural progesterone (Prontogest) group (14.5% vs. 19.2%, OR of 1.5, 95% CI of 1.13-2.11, p=0.03). The gestational age at birth and birth weight were similar in both groups (p= 0.297 and p=0.966, respectively) (Table 2).

An adjusted logistic regression model was performed and the odds ratios for the clinical outcomes for 17-OHPC (Lentogest) treatment type are shown in table 3. The results demonstrated the odds ratio for LBR is 0.75 (0.63-0.82, p=0.001), and for miscarriage rates is 0.21 (0.13-0.42, p= 0.02) (Table 3).

PGT-A cycles were excluded from the analysis and the findings are shown in table 4. In spite of excluding the PGT-A cycles, the LBR is consistently higher in the Lentogest group (50.29%

Table 1. Baseline characteristics according to the type of treatment (Means±SD)

Parameters	Prontogest (n=456)	Lentogest (n=440)	p-value
Female age			
	36.9 ± 3.21	36.4±2.63	0.361
Insemination technique			
IVF	47.2% (215)	38.6% (170)	0.076
ICSI	52.8% (241)	61.4% (270)	0.076
Number of blast ET			
	1.25±0.59	1.40±0.49	0.593
Transfer type			
SET	70.1% (320)	67.7% (298)	0.669
DET	29.9% (136)	32.3% (142)	0.668
Blastocyst stage			
Day 5	79.8%	82.3%	0.158
Day 6	20.2%	17.7%	0.158
Blastocyst quality			
Excellent	30.4%	35.3%	
Good	51.8%	54.9%	0.144
Average	17.8%	9.8%	
Endometrial thickness			
	9.5±1.9	8.1±1.8	0.383

No statistical significance was seen among these characteristics such as female age, parity, insemination technique or number of fresh blastocyst transferred

Table 2. Multivariate analysis of the characteristics of the cycle and different outcome measures

Parameters	Prontogest	Lentogest	p-value	OR (CI)
Implantation rate	58.3% (266/456)	60.4% (266/440)	0.268	0.80 (0.79-1.01)
Clinical pregnancy rate	52.6 % (240/456)	59.5% (262/440)	0.026 *	1.2 (1.11-1.68)
Live birth rate	41.8% (191/456)	50.9% (224/440)	0.048 *	0.63 (0.31-0.91)
Miscarriage rate	19.2% (49/240)	14.5% (38/262)	0.028 *	1.5 (1.13-2.11)
Gestational age	38.6±5.2	38.7±3.4	0.297	
Weight at birth	3186.5±679.3	3216.1±706.1	0.966	

^{*} Indicates statistical significance of p<0.05

JRI Two Farms of Progesterone in FET cycles

versus 41.8% (p<0.001)) and the miscarriage rate was 10.9% in the Lentogest group versus 19.2% in the natural progesterone group.

Regarding the recent trend toward single embryo transfer (SET) to reduce the risk of multiple pregnancies, our analysis of only single embryo transfer cycles was conducted separately. There was a significant difference in the live birth rates corresponding with the above results (37% *vs.* 58%) for IM natural progesterone and 17-OHPC, respectively.

Table 3. Adjusted logistic regression model on 17-OHPC (Lentogest) treatment versus clinical outcomes

Outcomes	OR (CI)	p-value
Clinical pregnancy rate	0.72 (0.55-0.89)	0.046 *
Live birth rate	0.75 (0.63-0.82)	0.001 *
Miscarriage rate	0.21 (0.13-0.42)	0.020 *

^{*} Indicates statistical significance of p<0.05

Discussion

The main finding of this study was that luteal support with 17-OHPC was associated with higher pregnancy and live birth rates than with natural IM progesterone. This is the first study to investigate the efficacy of 17-OHPC in luteal support of FET cycles and compare the outcomes with the conventionally used natural IM progesterone. In table 5, the description of the bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of each treatment arm is indicated.

Majority of researchers studying FET cycles compared luteal support with vaginal progesterone gel versus natural IM progesterone. The reported outcomes of most retrospective and prospective studies (23, 24) showed no difference in clinical pregnancy outcomes. Similarly, a recent retrospective study (17) in which vaginal and natural IM progesterone luteal support was compared

Table 4. Subanalysis of the outcomes of the 2 groups without PGT-A cycles

Outcomes	Prontogest (n=456)	Lentogest (n=340)	p-values
Live birth rate	191/456 (41.8%)	171 (50.29%)	< 0.001
Miscarriage rate	49 (19.2%)	21 (10.9%)	< 0.001
Gestational age	38.6±4.2	38.4 ± 3.2	0.268
Gestational weight	2186.5±679.3	3205 ± 698.1	0.869

Table 5. Description of the bioavailability and pharmacokinetics of each treatment arm

Prontogest	Lentogest

Mechanism of action

Progesterone binds to the progesterone and estrogen receptors. After its absorption, progesterone is extensively bound to plasma proteins, primarily albumin (50-54%) and cortisol-binding protein (43-48%)

A synthetic progestogen that works as an agonist on the progesterone receptor

Biological activity

It is primarily metabolized in the liver by reduction to pregnanediol, pregnanetriol, and pregnanolone. Subsequent conjugation results in the formation of glucuronide and sulfate metabolites. The glucuronide and sulfate conjugates of pregnanediol and pregnanolone are excreted in the urine and bile. Progesterone metabolites which are excreted in the bile may undergo enterohepatic recycling or may be excreted in the faeces

It has some antimineralocorticoid activity and no androgenic, antiandrogenic, estrogenic, or glucocorticoid activity. The bioavailability of OHPC with intramuscular injection is nearly 100% as established from animal studies, but its oral bioavailability is very low, than 3%. 17α-hydroxyprogesterone caproate is rapidly excreted unchanged or as metabolites. Elimination is primarily biliary (ratio of urine elimination/I=0:05 to 0:02) and is implemented consistently and with high speed. Enterohepatic circulation is unlikely

Half-life

The half-life of intramuscular progesterone is significantly longer when it is injected in the gluteal area rather than the deltoid muscle of the upper arm

When given by intramuscular injection, OHPC has been found to have an elimination half-life of 7.8 days in none pregnant women and 16 or 17 days in pregnant women. The half-life was shorter at 10 days, in women pregnant with twins compared to singleton pregnancy. Due to its long half-life, OHPC can be detected in pregnant women up to 44 days after the last dose

in 920 FET cycles using vitrified blastocysts showed no difference in clinical outcomes between the two progesterone preparations. Kaser et al. (19) reported lower odds of clinical pregnancy rates and live birth rates with 8% crinone (vaginal progesterone) luteal support compared with those with natural IM progesterone. Only one previous study (22) examined the effectiveness of natural IM progesterone and 17-OHPC for luteal support in patients undergoing fresh IVF cycles. Costabile et al. (2001) randomized patients and administered 17-OHPC for 143 patients and natural IM progesterone for 157 patients and reported no difference in clinical pregnancy rates although the results supported the use of 17-OHPC for luteal support in fresh IVF cycles. Our retrospective study included 896 FET cycles in which the use of natural and artificial IM progesterone was compared and higher live birth rates were indicated in the latter group.

The standard of practice for luteal support after IVF and frozen embryo transfer cycles is the use of exogenous progesterone. The two most common ones used include vaginal progesterone and IM progesterone and these two preparations in women were compared in various studies (17-19). Although some studies showed similar pregnancy rates between vaginal progesterone versus IM progesterone, one study by Devine et al. (25) showed a decrease in ongoing pregnancy rates due to miscarriage in vaginal only progesterone arm in vitrified warmed blastocyst transfer. In this study, this vaginal only progesterone arm was prematurely terminated based on these findings. Sometimes, selecting the route of preparations also depends on women's preferences (26). However, one study also demonstrated that a booster injection of IM progesterone (50 mg once every three days) did not increase pregnancy rates of patients who received vaginal progesterone (100 mg three times a day) (30). Two intramuscular preparations have not been compared in FET cycles before. In our study, natural IM progesterone was compared to 17-OHPC IM preparation. Natural IM progesterone is used daily at various doses for luteal support, and this may lead to severe inflammatory reactions, sterile abscesses, significant patient discomfort, and poor compliance. The 17-OHPC is a slow release highly potent long-acting progesterone derivative which can be administered at high doses with less frequency due to its marked solubility in oil and there is minimal irritation after injection.

17-OHPC is a popular compound used in the prevention of preterm labor. It is the most commonly used synthetic progestin given intramuscularly to prevent preterm birth (PTB). Recently, a subcutaneous auto-injector for the administration of 17-OHPC was designed for patients with recurrent preterm birth (27). The safety of 17-OHPC is well documented in the NICHD trial (28) that reported on a 4 year follow up of children exposed to 17-OHPC in the uterus. There was no significant difference in health status and conditions, or physical exam, including genital anomalies between 17-OHPC and placebo children. This aids in the counseling of the patients using this luteal phase regimen in FET cycles.

The strength of this study includes the large sample size (896 FET cycles) and comparable routes of progesterone administration between natural and synthetic progestin. Since many IVF centers are moving toward vitrification of blastocysts and transfer, our study is relevant to the current practice and as a result of good clinical outcomes, more patients will accept this luteal phase regimen.

Endometrial-embryo synchrony in FET cycles is critical to successful adhesion and implantation of the blastocyst, thereby resulting in good clinical outcomes. The duration of progesterone administration before embryo transfer, length of estrogen administration, and embryonic factors such as the stage at transfer and cryopreservation methods are also important. Binding to progesterone receptors, glucocorticoid receptors, or expression of progesterone-responsive genes is not better with 17-OHPC than other forms of progesterone (29). Other mechanisms may play a role that would possibly explain the beneficial effects of 17-OHPC on preterm birth rates. Further molecular studies are necessary to investigate this mechanism and the favorable impact on preterm birth rates and luteal support in fresh IVF and FET cycles.

This study is limited by its retrospective design and by the lack of randomization of the type of luteal support. Therefore, there is a possibility that unidentified confounders may cause bias in the results. However, good clinical outcomes observed in our study cannot be ignored.

Conclusion

The quest for an ideal luteal phase regimen to optimize the clinical outcomes (decreasing miscarriage rates and increasing live birth outcomes)

JRI Two Farms of Progesterone in FET cycles

for women having FET cycles continues. From the results of this study, it can be proposed that intramuscular 17-OHPC (Lentogest) administrations resulted in better clinical outcomes in FET cycles in comparison to natural intramuscular progesterone. Further prospective randomized studies are necessary to study the efficacy and benefit of 17-OHPC administration in luteal support in women who have FET cycles. As the dosing frequency was lower in the Lentogest group, this may be adapted as a more patient friendly luteal phase protocol. A larger, well designed randomized controlled trial is required to confirm this crucial clinical observation.

Acknowledgement

Our data was presented as an oral presentation (a comparison of outcomes with intramuscular progesterone and 17-alpha hydroxyprogesterone caproate in women undergoing frozen embryo transfer cycles) at the ASRM 2018 in Denver, Colarado (0-213) and was published in the ASRM 2018 abstract book (https://www.asrm.org/glob-alassets/asrm/asrm-content/events/2018-Congress/asrm-2018-congress-online-program.pdf).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- 1. Trouson A, Moher L. Human pregnancy following cryopreservation, thawing and transfer of an eight-cell embryo. Nature. 1983;305(5936):707-9.
- 2. Loutradi KE, Kolibianakis EM, Venetis CA, Papanikolaou EG, Pados G, Bontis I, et al. Cryopreservation of human embryos by vitrifaication or slow freezing: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Fertil Steril. 2008;90(1):186-93.
- 3. Roque M, Lattes K, Serra S, Solà I, Geber S, Carreras R, et al. Fresh embryo transfer versus frozen embryo transfer in in vitro fertilization cycles: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Fertil Steril. 2013;99(1):156-62.
- 4. Li Z, Wang YA, Ledger W, Edgar DH, Sullivan EA. Clinical outcomes following cryopreservation of blastocysts by vitrification or slow freezing: a population-based cohort study. Hum Reprod. 2014;29 (12):2794-801.
- 5. Özgür K, Berkkanoğlu M, Bulut H, Isikli A, Coetzee K. Higher clinical pregnancy rates from frozen-

- thawed blastocyst transfers compared to fresh blastocyst transfers: a retrospective matched-cohort study. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2015;32(10):1483-90.
- 6. Gerris J, De Neubourg D, De Sutter P, Van Royen E, Mangelschots K, Vercruyssen M. Cryopreservation as a tool to reduce multiple birth. Reprod Biomed Online. 2003;7(3):286-94.
- 7. Devine K, Connell MT, Richter KS, Ramirez CI, Levens ED, DeCherney AH, et al. Single vitrified blastocyst transfer maximizes liveborn children per embryo while minimizing preterm birth. Fertil Steril. 2015;103(6):1454-60.e1.
- 8. Ishihara O, Araki R, Kuwahara A, Itakura A, Saito H, Adamson GD. Impact of frozen-thawed single-blastocyst transfer on maternal and neonatal outcome: an analysis of 277,042 single-embryo transfer cycles from 2008 to 2010 in Japan. Fertil Steril. 2014;101(1):128-33.
- Maheshwari A, Pandey S, Shetty A, Hamilton M, Bhattacharya S. Obstetric and perinatal outcomes in singleton pregnancies resulting from the transfer of frozen thawed versus fresh embryos generated through in vitro fertilization treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Fertil Steril. 2012;98(2): 368-77.e1-9.
- 10. Roque M, Valle M, Guimarães F, Sampaio M, Geber S. Freeze-all policy: fresh vs. frozen-thawed embryo transfer. Fertil Steril. 2015;103(5):1190-3.
- 11. Maheshwari A, Bhattacharya S. Elective frozen replacement cycles for all: ready for prime time? Hum Reprod. 2013;28(1):6-9.
- 12. Casper RF, Yanushpolsky EH. Optimal endometrial preparation for frozen embryo transfer cycles: window of implantation and progesterone support. Fertil Steril. 2016;105(4):867-72.
- 13. DiLuigi AJ, Nulsen JC. Effects of gonadotropinreleasing hormone agonists and antagonists on luteal function. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2007; 19(3):258-65.
- 14. Frydman R, Testart J, Giacomini P, Imbert MC, Martin E, Nahoul K. Hormonal and histological study of the luteal phase in women following aspiration of the preovulatory follicle. Fertil Steril. 1982;38(3):312-7.
- 15. Boynukalin FK, Gultomruk M, Turgut E, Demir B, Findikli N, Serdarogullari M, et al. Measuring the serum progesterone level on the day of transfer can be an additional tool to maximize ongoing pregnancies in single euploid frozen blastocyst transfers. Reprod Biol Endocrinol. 2019;17(1):102.
- Beltsos AN, Sanchez MD, Doody KJ, Bush MR, Domar AD, Collins MG. Patients' administration preferences: progesterone vaginal insert (Endomet-

- rin®) compared to intramuscular progesterone for Luteal phase support. Reprod Health. 2014;11:78.
- 17. Shapiro DB, Pappadakis JA, Ellsworth NM, Hait HI, Nagy ZP. Progesterone replacement with vaginal gel versus i.m. injection: cycle and pregnancy outcomes in IVF patients receiving vitrified blastocysts. Hum Reprod. 2014;29(8):1706-11.
- 18. Leonard PH, Hokenstad AN, Khan Z, Jensen JR, Stewart EA, Coddington CC. Progesterone support for frozen embryo transfer: intramuscular versus vaginal suppository demonstrates no difference in a cohort. J Reprod Med. 2015;60(3-4):103-8.
- 19. Kaser DJ, Ginsburg ES, Missmer SA, Correia KF, Racowsky C. Intramuscular progesterone versus 8% Crinone vaginal gel for luteal phase support for day 3 cryopreserved embryo transfer. Fertil Steril. 2012;98(6):1464-9.
- 20. Casper RF. Luteal phase support for frozen embryo transfer cycles: intramuscular or vaginal progesterone. Fertil Steril. 2014;101(3):627-8.
- 21. Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine Publications Committee, with assistance of Vincenzo Berghella. Progesterone and preterm birth prevention: translating clinical trials data into clinical practice. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012;206(5):376-86.
- 22. Costabile L, Gerli S, Manna C, Rossetti D, Di Renzo GC, Unfer V. A prospective randomized study comparing intramuscular progesterone and 17alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate in patients undergoing in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer cycles. Fertil Steril. 2001;76(2):394-6.
- 23. Gibbons WE, Toner JP, Hamacher P, Kolm P. Experience with a novel vaginal progesterone preparation in a donor oocyte program. Fertil Steril. 1998;69(1):96-101.
- 24. Jobanputra K, Toner JP, Denoncourt R, Gibbons WE. Crinone 8% (90 mg) given once daily for

- progesterone support therapy in donor egg cycles. Fertil Steril. 1999;72(6):980-4.
- 25. Devine K, Richter KS, Widra EA, McKeeby JL. Vitrified blastocyst transfer cycles with the use of only vaginal progesterone replacement with Endometrin have inferior ongoing pregnancy rates: results from the planned interim analysis of a threearm randomized controlled noninferiority trial. Fertil Steril. 2018;109(2):266-75.
- 26. Zaman AY, Coskun S, Alsanie AA, Awartani KA. Intramuscular progesterone (Gestone) versus vaginal progesterone suppository (Cyclogest) for luteal phase support in cycles of in vitro fertilization-embryo transfer: patient preference and drug efficacy. Fertil Res Pract. 2017;3:17.
- 27. Travanty MN, Calawa B, Shalaby WS, Jozwiakowski MJ, Haraldsen KB. Development and usability of a new subcutaneous auto-injector device to administer hydroxyprogesterone caproate to reduce the risk of recurrent preterm birth. Med Devices (Auckl). 2018;11:241-52.
- 28. Northen AT, Norman GS, Anderson K, Moseley L, DiVito M, Cotroneo M, et al. Follow-up of children exposed in utero to 17 α -hydroxyprogesterone caproate compared with placebo. Obstet Gynecol. 2007;110(4):865-72.
- 29. Attardi BJ, Zeleznik A, Simhan H, Chiao JP, Mattison DR, Caritis SN, et al. Comparison of progesterone and glucocorticoid receptor binding and stimulation of gene expression by progesterone, 17-alpha hydroxyprogesterone caproate, and related progestins. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2007;197 (6):599.e1-7.
- 30. Feinberg EC, Beltsos AN, Nicolaou E, Marut EL, Uhler ML. Endometrin as luteal phase support in assisted reproduction. Fertil Steril. 2013;99(1):174-8.e1.